

Lateral Spreading Hazard Mapping of Northern Salt Lake County for a Magnitude 7.0 Scenario Earthquake

Michael Olsen Steven Bartlett Feb. 16, 2006



Map production

Data collection efforts
Visual Basic for Applications routines
Analysis and map creation



Subsurface data collection

Standard Penetration Testing (SPT)
Cone Penetrometer Testing (CPT)
Shear Wave Velocity Testing (VS)



Eile Edit View Insert Format Records Tools Window Help																						
M → 🔲 🖨 🗟 🆤 🐰 🖻 🖻 🚿 🗠 🤮 🛃 🍞 摘 🏹 🚧 🕨 🗰 🗇 - 😰 -																						
_	Ť	SITEIDNO	REP	ORT	REI	FER	ENC	Έ	SITENA	ME	BOR	ING	P/	AGENO	BOREE	LELE	V RIG		BIT	DRIL DAT	LOCATIO	N 🗖
•		108 Site Characteriz			Woodward Clyd			Kennecoti	t Tai	lir DH-WC-103		Volume VII		4213.8 1 L		1 Lon	g Casing A	HW and	: JD V 1990	Kennecott Ta	ailir 4	
•		109 Site Characteriz		Woodward Clyd			Kennecott Ta		ilir DH-WC-105		Volume VII		4208.8		1 HC-1 HW Casi		JD V 1991		Kennecott Tailir 4			
		BOREIDN)EPTI BO	DU NV/	BLC	ΒL	BL	ES	SAMPLE	SA	SOILTYPE	USCS	ES	T DRYUN E	S MOIS	E SF	•C E!	FINES	CLAY	ES D50	D5 LIQUIDLI	P 🔺
		DH-WC-10:	6.5 C] 2	1	1	1	1	Standa	1	Soft, Brow	СН	1	12.65 2	. 46	1 2	82	99.1	63	1 0.002	1 54	
		DH-WC-10:	10.7 🛛						Shelby	1	Soft, Brow	CL/CH	2	14.08 2	34.3	1		96.9	37	1 0.04	2 43	i
	►	DH-WC-10:	11 🗆						Shelby	1	Soft, Brow	CL/CH	2	14.51 2	30.3	1		95.8	37	1 0.04	2 40	
		DH-WC-10:	11.3						Shelby	1	Soft, Brow	CL/CH	2	14.39 2	31.4	1		92.45	37	2 0.04	2 43	
		DH-WC-10:	11.5]					Shelby	1	Soft, Brow	СГЛСН	2	15.49 2	22	1		80	37	1 0.04	2	
		DH-WC-10:	13.5 L] 4	1	2	2	1	Standa	1	Soft, Brow	CL	1	14.25 2	35.7	1 2	72	90.1	42.5	2 0.016	2 37	
		DH-WC-10:	13.8 🕨	1							BOUNDAF				_							
		DH-WC-10:	15.5 L	J 20	5	10	10	1	Standa	1	Medium de	SM	1		32.8	1		46.2		1 0.078	1	
		DH-WC-10:	16 🖻	<u> </u>							BOUNDAF				_							
		DH-WC-10:	22.8 L						Shelby	1	Soft to me	ML	1	16.87 2	27	1		76.36	16.75	2 0.034	2 24.5	<u> </u>
		DH-WC-10:	22.80 🖻	1							BOUNDAF				_							
		DH-WC-10:	_23.5 L	J 23	3	9	14	1	Standa	1	Medium D	CL	1	15.12 2	27.9	1 2	72	90.1	42.5	2 0.016	2 37	
		DH-WC-10:	26.5 L	J 10	6	6	4	1	Standa	1	Medium D	MH	1		29.3	1						
		DH-WC-10:	27.5	1							BOUNDAF				_							
		DH-WC-10:	33.5 L	7 8	2	3	5	1	Standa	1	Medium S	CL	1	14.53 2	33.1	1 2	72	95.9	42	2 0.007	2 30	1
		DH-WC-10:	36.5 L] 14	2	5	9	1	Standa	1	Medium S	CL	1	15.52 2	24.6	1 2	72	95.9	42	1 0.007	1 30	J
		DH-WC-10:	40 🖢	1							BOUNDAF											
		DH-WC-10:	42 L						Shelby	1	Medium D	SM	1		29	1		21.7		1 0.11	1	
		DH-WC-10:	43 🖢	1							BOUNDAF											
		DH-WC-10:	46.5 L] 13	3	6	- 7	1	Standa	1	Stiff, greer	CL	1	14.60 2	32.4	1 2	72	90.1	42.5	2 0.016	2 37	
		DH-WC-10:	54 L] 23	9	11	12	1	Standa	1	Stiff, greer	CL	1	15.73 2	22.9	1 2	72	90.1	42.5	2 0.016	2 37	
		DH-WC-10:	55 🖢	1							BOUNDAF											
		DH-WC-10:	56.5 L	37	7	16	21	1	Standa	1	Dense, bro	SM	1		26.7	1		28.1		2 0.138	2	
		DH-WC-10:	66.5 C						Standa	1	Dense, bro	SC	1	14.93 2	27	1		12.1	33	1 0.149	1 35	L
		DH-WC-10:	67 🖻	2							BOUNDAF											
		DH-WC-10:	74 🗆	21	- 5	6	15	1	Standa	1	Very stiff,	CL	1	15.11 2	28	1 2	72	90.1	42.5	2 0.016	2 37	
		DH-WC-10:	76.5 C	27	8	12	15	1	Standa	1	Very stiff,	СН	1	14.9 2	24	1 2	82	97.5	85	1 0.001	1 52	:
		DH-WC-10:	82.4 🖪	2							BOUNDAF											
		DH-WC-10:	84 C	26	11	16	10	1	Standa	1	Medium D	SP	1	14.62 2	25.9	1		11.8		2 0.325	2 28	i
		DH-WC-10:	88.4 C] 17	7	10	12	1	Standa	1	Medium D	SP	1	14.48 2	27.1	1		11.8		2 0.325	2 28	i
		DH-WC-10:	88.40 🖪	2							BOUNDAF											
		DH-WC-10:	89 E	22	- 7	10	12	1	Standa	1	Very stiff,	CL	1	15.29 2	26.5	1 2	72	90.1	42.5	2 0.016	2 37	
Reco	ord:		3		∦ of	57											1 11					•

Microsoft Access - [SITE : Table]

Plan Count for first 4"

A U INA

_ 7 🛛

Characterization of geologic units

Qal₁ – Stream alluvium 1







Qlbpm – Lacustrine silt and clay of the Provo and Bonneville lake cycles, undivided







Other data collected

- Surficial geologic mapping (Personious and Scott, 1992, Biek et al. 2004, and Miller 1980)
- Fault location data
- River and channel locations and depths
- Great Salt Lake location
- Peak ground acceleration map (pga, Wong et al. 2002)
- Digital Elevation Model (DEM)
- Groundwater depths



Peak Ground Acceleration Map







Groundwater map





VBA routines

- Average calculator
- Average filler
- Groundwater query
- Stress calculator
- ✤ N160 calculator
- Liq screener
- ✤ W finder

- Slope finder
- ✤ R finder
- Acceleration reader
- Atrigger
- Layer merger (15 calc)
- Lateral spread calculator

Predicted lateral spread

Analysis and map creation * 85% threshold – only 15% or less of the boreholes can exceed that hazard level

Qlbpm

Lateral spread hazard map

Future mapping efforts

Fully probabilistic maps including:
Liquefaction triggering maps for Salt Lake Valley
Lateral Spread maps
Liquefaction-induced ground settlement maps

Probabilistic curves

Recommended "Probabilistic" SPT-Based Liquefaction Triggering Correlation

(For MW=7.5 and sv'=1.0 atm)

(Seed et al. 2003)

Liquefaction probability aggregation $P(L) = \square P[L | A,M] P[A,M]$

where:

P(L) = annual probability of liquefaction,
P [L | A,M] = conditional probability of liquefaction given the peak ground acceleration and the earthquake magnitude,

P[**A**, **M**] = joint probability density function of peak ground acceleration and earthquake magnitude.

Lateral spread probability chain

* $P(DH > x) = \square P[(DH > x) | L] P[L | A, M, R] P[A, M, R]$

Where:

- *P(DH>x) = The probability of lateral spread exceeding
 a threshold value (x= 0.1 m and 0.3 m)
- * P[L| A,M,R] = the probability of liquefaction given an acceleration, magnitude, and distance.
- *P[A,M,R] = joint probability density function of peak
 ground acceleration, magnitude and distance.